arXiv:1402.6553v1 [math.PR] 26 Feb 2014

SELF-AVOIDING WALKS ON FINITE GRAPHS OF LARGE GIRTH

ARIEL YADIN*

Abstract. We consider self-avoiding walk on finite graphs with large girth. A bound on the expected length of the self-avoiding walk is given, showing that in many cases large girth graphs do not exhibit mean-field critical behavior.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Self-avoiding walks.** A **self-avoiding walk** is a path in a graph that does not visit any vertex more than once. Counting the number of self-avoiding walks of length n started at the origin in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, is a long standing open problem. It is very difficult to come up with formulas that capture the correct asymptotics. In fact, even the exponential growth rate of the number of such walks is difficult to precisely calculate in most cases; this number is known as the *connective constant* of the lattice. Precisely, let c_n denote the number of self-avoiding walks of length n started at o in an infinite transitive graph G. It is not difficult to show that $c_{n+m} \leq c_n \cdot c_m$ so Fekete's Lemma tells us that the limit $\mu = \mu(G) = \lim(c_n)^{1/n}$ exists. Hence the number of self avoiding-walks of length n is approximately μ^n. Determining the lower order terms in the aymptotics of c_n is a major open problem. Even calculating the precise value of μ is usually very difficult. In a seminal work the connective constant of the hexagonal lattice has recently been calculated by Duminil-Copin and Smirnov [8] to be $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$. Self-avoiding walks in the plane admit a conjectured conformal invariant scaling limit, and determining the lower

*Ben-Gurion University of the Negev. *email:* yadina@bgu.ac.il

Thanks to Itai Benjamini for suggesting the problem and useful discussions.

order terms for c_n is deeply connected to this fact. For more on self-avoiding walks see [2, 15].

Let us briefly introduce the main model usually considered in the plane (and in fact in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ in general). This is sometimes called *Lawler-Schramm-Werner* model of self-avoiding walk [13]. For some parameter $0 < x \in \mathbb{R}$ and scaling factor $\delta > 0$ consider the finite graph $G_\delta := \delta\mathbb{Z}^d \cap B(0,1)$, where $B(0,1)$ is the Euclidean ball of radius 1. Let SAW_δ be the set of all self-avoiding walks in G_δ started at 0 with an endpoint in the boundary of G_δ (there are finitely many such walks). We may define a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_x^\delta$ on SAW_δ by letting the probability of $\gamma \in \mathsf{SAW}_\delta$ be proportional to $x^{|\gamma|}$ where $|\gamma|$ is the length of γ.

It is known that the model undergoes a phase transition at $x_c = \mu^{-1}$. Ioffe [12] has shown that for $x < x_c$ the measures $\mathbb{P}_x^\delta$ converge (in an appropriate sense) to a measure on geodesics from 0 to the boundary of $B(0,1)$. For $x > x_c$ one may show that the limiting curve fills the ball $B(0,1)$ (again, in an appropriate sense) [7].

The major question is to understand what happens at the critical point $x = x_c$. In dimensions $d \geq 4$ the limiting curve should be scaling to a Brownian motion; this is related to works of Brydges & Spencer [6] and Hara & Slade [10, 11] (see also [3, 4, 5] for the *upper critical dimension* $d = 4$, and the book [15] and references therein). Dimension $d = 3$ is the most mysterious. In dimension $d = 2$ the limiting curve is conjectured to be $\mathsf{SLE}_{8/3}$, Schramm-Loewner Evolution of parameter $\frac{8}{3}$ [13].

1.2. **The model.** Self-avoiding walks on general graphs have received much less attention than the Euclidean lattices. One notable work is [9]. In this note we adapt the Lawler-Schramm-Werner model to the setting of *finite* graphs. We consider self-avoiding walks on graphs of large girth, and rapid enough mixing (which is equivalent to good enough expansion properties). If a d-regular graph has large girth, then locally around any vertex it looks like a d-regular tree. Thus,

a bit of thought leads us to guess that the analogue of the connective constant should be $(d-1)$ in this case.

Let us precisely define the model.

• **Definition 1.** Let $(G_n)_n$ be a sequence of finite graphs of sizes $|G_n| \to \infty$. By $\mathsf{SAW}(G_n)$ we denote the set of self-avoiding walks in G_n.

For every n, and a parameter $x > 0$, define a probability measure on $\mathsf{SAW}(G_n)$ by setting

$$Z_{x,n} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathsf{SAW}(G_n)} x^{|\gamma|} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mu_{x,n}[\gamma] = \frac{x^{|\gamma|}}{Z_{x,n}}.$$

We dub this the **self-avoiding walk**, or simply SAW, on the sequence $(G_n)_n$.

We would like to understand the behavior of a random sample from $\mu_{x,n}$ as $n \to \infty$. For example, the first question to consider is the sequence of expected lengths $\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|]$ as $n \to \infty$.

When G_n is the $n \times n$ torus $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$, the above remarks on the planar self-avoiding walk lead us to the conclusion that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] = \begin{cases} O(1) & x < \mu(\mathbb{Z}^2)^{-1} \\ \Omega(n^2) & x > \mu(\mathbb{Z}^2)^{-1} \\ n^{4/3} & x = \mu(\mathbb{Z}^2)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

where the last value in the critical case is only conjectured, and has to do with the fact the the Hausdorff dimension of SLE_κ is $1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}$ for $\kappa \leq 8$.

For higher dimensions (that is, $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d$) the sub-critical and super-critical behavior should be the same as the planar case; *i.e.* constant for sub-critical and order of the volume in the super-critical case. The results of Brydges & Spencer [6] and Hara & Slade [10, 11] tell us that the critical case should grow diffusively, that is should have expected length of order n^2 (the volume is order n^d).

In some sense, the high-dimensional behavior is what one would expect to find in the mean-field case. Indeed, in Section 2 we make the necessary calculations to prove:

• **Theorem 2.** *Consider the* SAW *model $\mu_{x,n} = \mu_{x,G_n}$ on the sequence $(G_n)_n$ where G_n is the complete graph on n vertices. Then, the critical value is $x_c = \frac{1}{n-1}$ in the following sense: for all $\varepsilon > 0$:*

- *If $(x_n)_n$ is a sequence such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}((n-1)x_n) \geq 1+\varepsilon,$$

then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x_n,n}[|\gamma|]}{n-1} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}.$$

- *If $(x_n)_n$ is a sequence such that $x_n \leq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}$ then for some constant $c > 0$,*

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}_{x_n,n}[|\gamma|] \leq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

- *If $x_n = \frac{1}{n-1}$ then there exists some universal (explicit) constant $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x_n,n}[|\gamma|]}{\sqrt{n-1}} = \alpha.$$

1.3. **SAW on large girth.** Expander graphs are graphs with very good expansion properties. It is known that a random d-regular graph is a very good expander with high probability, and has large girth around typical vertices with high probability. In many models random d-regular graphs exhibit the same behavior as the mean-field complete graph case. Sometimes such mean-field behavior can also be shown for expanders of large girth in general. See the discussion immediately following Theorem 3.

This experience may lead one to conjecture that the same phenomena will occur for the SAW model. The sub-critical and super-critical cases indeed do have constant and linear order expected length respectively. However, the analogy breaks down for the critical case, and the scaling is not $\sqrt{|G_n|}$.

Instrumental in the proofs are non-backtracking random walks. A **non-backtracking walk** is a path in a graph that never backtracks the last edge it passed through. A non-backtracking random walk is one that chooses each step randomly out of the currently allowed steps. This is a Markov chain on the set of directed edges of the graph. For a non-backtracking random walk one may define the mixing time by

$$\tau = \min\left\{ t \ : \ \max_{u,v} | \, \mathbb{P}_u[\mathsf{NB}(t) = v] - \tfrac{1}{n}| \leq \tfrac{1}{2n} \right\},$$

where NB is the non-backtracking random walk, and $\mathbb{P}_v$ is the associated probability measure conditioned on $\mathsf{NB}(0) = v$. In [1] the mixing time of non-backtracking random walks is studied, and it is shown that it is always better than the mixing time of the usual simple random walk. We use the mixing time of the non-backtracking random walk to quantitatively define the notion of "large girth".

• **Theorem 3.** *Let $(G_n)_n$ be a sequence of d-regular graphs with sizes $|G_n| \to \infty$. Let g_n be the girth of G_n and assume that $g_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Consider the* SAW *model on the sequence $(G_n)_n$.*

Then:

- *If $x < (d-1)^{-1}$ then*

$$\sup_n \mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \leq \frac{x(d-1)}{(1-x(d-1))^2}.$$

- *If $x = (d-1)^{-1}$ then,*

$$C^{-1} g_n \leq \mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \leq C \cdot g_n (d-1)^{2g_n}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant that depends only on the degree d.

- *Assume that G_n has large girth in the sense that if τ_n is the mixing time of the non-backtracking random walk on G_n, then $\tau_n = o((d-1)^{g_n/4})$ as $n \to \infty$.*

  If $x > (d-1)^{-1}$ then there exists $c = c(x,d) > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|]}{n} \geq c.$$

Note that one may find expander graphs $(G_n)_n$ with girth $g_n = \varepsilon \log |G_n|$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. By results of [1], the mixing time of the non-backtracking random walk is faster than the mixing time of the simple random walk on G_n. Since $(G_n)_n$ are expander graphs the mixing time in this case is $\tau_n = O(\log |G_n|)$. So in Theorem 3 the critical expected length could be bounded by order $|G_n|^\delta$ for any choice of $\delta > 0$ (and in fact may even be poly-logarithmic). For example, it is well known that the above conditions hold for the constructions by Margulis [16] and the Lubotzky-Phillips-Sarnak expanders [14].

Occasionally one expects expanders, especially large girth expanders, to exhibit tree-like or mean-field behavior. To illustrate this, let us contrast our results with some other mean-field behavior in the large girth setting. In [17] finite graphs with large girth are shown to exhibit mean-field behavior of the critical window for bond percolation. Coincidently, the non-backtracking random walk appears as part of the conditions in that paper as well. A more recent paper [18] deals with the *infinitely many infinite components* phase in percolation on infinite graphs with large girth, as well as the critical exponents for percolation and self-avoiding walks on such graphs. There it is shown that the exponents are mean-field exponents for large girth infinite graphs. Again, there is a connection to the non-backtracking random walk, which appears in the proofs.

In light of Theorem 3, it is perhaps reasonable to augment the definition of the connective constant for finite d-regular graphs of large girth. The following has been suggested by Itai Benjamini.

Question 4. *Let $(G_n)_n$ be a sequence of finite d regular graphs with sizes $|G_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Suppose that g_n is the girth of G_n, and $g_n \to \infty$.*

Find a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ such that $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and such that for $x_n = \frac{1}{d-1} + \varepsilon_n$,

$$C^{-1} \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x_n,n}[|\gamma|]}{\sqrt{|G_n|}} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x_n,n}[|\gamma|]}{\sqrt{|G_n|}} \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ is some constant independent of n.

2. Mean-field SAW

In this section we give a short account of the mean-field SAW; that is when G_n is the complete graph on n vertices. In particular, we prove Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 2 is an immediate combination of Lemmas 6, 8 and 9 below.

The main (simple) observation is that on the complete graph one can count exactly the number of self-avoiding walks of a specific length. Specifically, for every k let $\Gamma_{k,n}$ be the set of all self avoiding walks of length n in the complete graph on n vertices, G_n. Then,

$$|\Gamma_{k,n}| = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{(n-1-k)!} & 0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ 0 & k > n-1. \end{cases}$$

Thus, for all $0 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$\mu_{x,n}[|\gamma| = n-1-k] = \frac{x^{n-1-k}|\Gamma_{n-1-k,n}|}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j |\Gamma_{j,n}|} = \frac{x^{n-1-k}|\Gamma_{n-1-k,n}|}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{n-1-j}|\Gamma_{n-1-j,n}|} = \frac{\frac{x^{-k}}{k!}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{-j}}{j!}}$$
$$= \mathbb{P}[P = k \mid P \leq n-1],$$

where $P \sim \mathrm{Poi}(x^{-1})$. That is, under $\mu_{x,n}$ the quantity $n-1-|\gamma|$ has a conditional Poisson distribution. Specifically,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] = n-1-\mathbb{E}[P \mid P \leq n-1]. \tag{1}$$

This leaves the task of understanding the conditional expectation above for the different regimes of x.

2.1. **Mean field super-critical regime.** First a classical large deviations argument, which we include for completeness.

- **Proposition 5.** *Let $P \sim \mathrm{Poi}(x^{-1})$. Then, for all $n > x^{-1}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[P \geq n] \leq (xn)^{-n} \cdot e^{n-\frac{1}{x}}.$$

Proof. For any $\lambda > 0$, the Laplace transform of P is

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda P}] = \exp\left(x^{-1} \cdot (e^{\lambda} - 1)\right).$$

Thus, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[P \geq n] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda P}]e^{-\lambda n} = \exp\left(x^{-1} \cdot (e^{\lambda} - 1) - \lambda n\right).$$

Minimizing the right hand side above over λ, we obtain $\lambda = \log(xn)$ (which is good since we assumed $xn > 1$), so

$$\mathbb{P}[P \geq n] \leq \left(\tfrac{e}{xn}\right)^n \cdot e^{-1/x}.$$

□

- **Lemma 6.** *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $x = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{n-1}$, then*

$$\left|\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] - \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}(n-1)\right| \leq \frac{nI(\varepsilon)^{n/2}}{1 - I(\varepsilon)^n},$$

where $I(\varepsilon) = \max\left\{e^{-\varepsilon^2/8}, \sqrt{e}/2\right\}$. Specifically, if $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ is a sequence converging to $L \in [0, \infty]$ such that $n \cdot \varepsilon_n^2 \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then for the sequence $x_n = \frac{1+\varepsilon_n}{n-1}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x_n,n}[|\gamma|]}{n-1} = \frac{L}{1+L},$$

where $\frac{\infty}{1+\infty} = 1$.

Proof. Let $P \sim \mathrm{Poi}(x^{-1})$, and let $Q \sim \mathrm{Poi}(\frac{n-1}{1+\varepsilon})$. So P is stochastically dominated by Q. Thus, by Proposition 5,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[P \geq n] \leq \mathbb{P}[Q \geq n] &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^{-n}\left(1-\tfrac{1}{n}\right)^n \cdot e^n \cdot e^{-(n-1)} \cdot \exp\left((n-1)\tfrac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}n\right) \cdot (1+\varepsilon)^{-n}.\end{aligned}$$

We use the inequality $e^\xi \leq 1+\xi+\frac{\xi^2}{2}e^\xi$, so if $\xi < 1$, then $e^\xi \leq \frac{1+\xi}{1-\xi^2/2}$. Plugging in $\xi = \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$, we obtain that when $\varepsilon < 1$,

$$\exp\left(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \leq \frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1+2\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2/2} \leq 1-\tfrac{\varepsilon^2}{8},$$

and if $\varepsilon \geq 1$ then since $\xi e^{-\xi}$ increases when $0 < \xi < 1$, with $\xi = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$ we get

$$\exp\left(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \leq e \cdot \xi e^{-\xi} \leq \frac{\sqrt{e}}{2}.$$

So we get that $\mathbb{P}[P \geq n] \leq I(\varepsilon)^n$. Thus, for some $c = c(\varepsilon)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[P|P \leq n-1] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[P]}{1-\mathbb{P}[P \geq n]} \leq \frac{x^{-1}}{1-I(\varepsilon)^n} \leq \frac{n-1}{1+\varepsilon} \cdot \left(1+\tfrac{I(\varepsilon)^n}{1-I(\varepsilon)^n}\right).$$

We use this bound with (1) to obtain that for $x \geq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{n-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] = n-1-\mathbb{E}[P|P \leq n-1] &\geq (n-1)\cdot\left(1-\tfrac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\cdot\left(1+\tfrac{I(\varepsilon)^n}{1-I(\varepsilon)^n}\right)\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon(n-1)}{1+\varepsilon} - \frac{nI(\varepsilon)^n}{1-I(\varepsilon)^n}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lower bound on $\mathbb{E}_n^x[|\gamma|]$.

For the upper bound, note that by Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[P\mathbf{1}_{\{P\geq n\}}] &\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[P^2]\cdot\mathbb{P}[P\geq n]} \leq \sqrt{x^{-2}+x^{-1}}\cdot I(\varepsilon)^{n/2} \\ &\leq n\cdot I(\varepsilon)^{n/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] = n-1-\mathbb{E}[P|P\leq n-1] &= n-1-\frac{\mathbb{E}[P]-\mathbb{E}[P\mathbf{1}_{\{P\geq n\}}]}{1-\mathbb{P}[P\geq n]} \\ &\leq n-1-\mathbb{E}[P]+\frac{\mathbb{E}[P\mathbf{1}_{\{P\geq n\}}]}{1-\mathbb{P}[P\geq n]} \leq \frac{\varepsilon(n-1)}{1+\varepsilon}+\frac{nI(\varepsilon)^{n/2}}{1-I(\varepsilon)^n}.\end{aligned}$$

(In the second inequality is where we use that $\mathbb{E}[P] = x^{-1} = \frac{n-1}{1+\varepsilon}$.) □

Remark 7. Note that Lemma 6 gives more than required for the super-critical phase in Theorem 2. For $\varepsilon_n >> n^{-1/2}$, we have that the expected length $\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|]$ is very near $\frac{\varepsilon_n(n-1)}{1+\varepsilon_n}$ as $n \to \infty$.

2.2. **Mean field critical regime.**

• **Lemma 8.** *There exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for $x = x_n = \frac{1}{n-1}$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}_n^x[|\gamma|]}{\sqrt{n-1}} = \alpha.$$

Proof. Let $(P_k)_k$ be i.i.d. Poisson-1 random variables, and let $P = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k$. So $P \sim \mathrm{Poi}(x^{-1})$. Since $\mathbb{E}[P] = n-1$ and $\mathrm{Var}[P] = n-1$ we have by the central limit theorem that the sequence $X_n := \frac{P-(n-1)}{\sqrt{n-1}}$ converges in distribution to a standard Gaussian $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

From this, a simple application of the portmanteau theorem gives that

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}[P|P \le n-1] - (n-1)}{\sqrt{n-1}} = \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_n \le 0] \to \mathbb{E}[N|N \le 0].$$

So setting $\alpha := -\mathbb{E}[N|N \le 0] > 0$ we have that when $x = \frac{1}{n-1}$,

$$\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \to \alpha.$$

□

2.3. **Mean field sub-critical regime.**

• **Lemma 9.** *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, if $x \le \frac{1-\varepsilon}{n-1}$ then*

$$\frac{x(n-1)}{2e(1-x(n-1))} \le \mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \le \frac{x(n-1)}{(1-x(n-1))^2}.$$

Proof. Because $Z_{x,n} \ge x^0 |\Gamma_{0,n}| = n$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k x^k |\Gamma_{k,n}|}{Z_{x,n}} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k x^k |\Gamma_{k,n}|.$$

Since $|\Gamma_{k,n}| \le n(n-1)^k$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \le \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(x(n-1))^k \le \frac{x(n-1)}{(1-x(n-1))^2}.$$

On the other hand, if $x(n-1) \le 1-\varepsilon$,

$$Z_{x,n} \le n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} x^k (n-1)^k \le \frac{n}{1-x(n-1)},$$

so using the inequality $1-\xi \ge e^{-2\xi}$ valid for all $\xi \le \frac{1}{4}$, when n is large enough, using $\phi := x(n-1) \le 1-\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] &\ge \sum_{k \le \frac{1}{4}(n-1)} k\phi^k(1-\phi) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(1-\tfrac{j}{n-1}\right) \ge \sum_{k \le \frac{1}{4}(n-1)} k\phi^k(1-\phi) \exp\left(-\tfrac{k^2}{2(n-1)}\right) \\ &\ge e^{-1} \cdot \sum_{k \le \sqrt{2(n-1)}} k\phi^k(1-\phi) \ge \frac{\phi - C\sqrt{n}\exp\left(-c\varepsilon\sqrt{n}\right)}{e(1-\phi)},\end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C, c > 0$. □

3. SAW on large girth graphs

3.1. **Connection to non-backtracking random walk.** In this section we prove Theorem 3. Our main observation is a connection between self-avoiding walks and non-backtracking walks. In a graph of large girth, since the local environment is tree-like, the non-backtracking random walk has to start out as a self-avoiding walk and only after going far away may it return to a vertex already visited. This leads to a simple connection between self-avoiding walks and non-backtracking random walks.

We use NB to denote a non-backtracking random walk on a fixed d-regular graph G started at a uniformly chosen vertex. Thus, for any non-backtracking path ω in G of length $|\omega| = k$, the probability that $\mathsf{NB}[0,k] = \omega$ is $\frac{1}{n} \cdot (d-1)^{-k}$. We also use $\mathbb{P}_v$ to denote the probability measure of the non-backtracking random walk

conditioned on $\mathsf{NB}(0) = v$. Also, $\mathbb{P}$ without subscripts refers to starting from a uniformly chosen vertex; $\mathbb{P} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_v \mathbb{P}_v$.

Let Γ_k be the set of all self-avoiding walks in G of length k;

$$\Gamma_k = \{\gamma \in \mathsf{SAW}(G) \ : \ |\gamma| = k\}.$$

So *e.g.* $\Gamma_0 = G$ and $\Gamma_k = \emptyset$ if $k \geq n$. The definition of $\mu_{x,G}$, the probability measure of the SAW model on G, is then just

$$\mu_{x,G}[\gamma] = \frac{x^{|\gamma|}}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Gamma_k| x^k}.$$

The key observation is:

$$|\Gamma_k| \cdot (nd(d-1)^{k-1})^{-1} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} \tfrac{1}{n} \cdot \tfrac{1}{d(d-1)^{k-1}} = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{NB}[0,k] \in \mathsf{SAW}]. \tag{2}$$

Let $p_k = \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{NB}[0,k] \in \mathsf{SAW}]$. Note that p_k is a decreasing sequence.

Note that we may write

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k|\Gamma_k|x^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}|\Gamma_k|x^k} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k(x(d-1))^k p_k}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(x(d-1))^k p_k} \tag{3}$$

- **Lemma 10** (Sub-critical phase)**.** *If $x(d-1) < 1$ we have uniformly in n,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \leq \frac{x(d-1)}{(1-x(d-1))^2}.$$

Proof. A simple calculation reveals that for $\phi := x(d-1)$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k(x(d-1))^k p_k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k(x(d-1))^k \leq \tfrac{\phi}{1-\phi} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Geo}(1-\phi)] = \tfrac{\phi}{(1-\phi)^2},$$

and since $p_k = 1$ for $k < g_n$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(x(d-1))^k p_k \geq \sum_{k=0}^{g_n-1}(x(d-1))^k = \frac{1-\phi^{g_n}}{1-\phi}.$$

Using (3), $\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \leq \frac{\phi}{(1-\phi)(1-\phi^{g_n})}$. □

• **Lemma 11** (Super-critical phase)**.** *Suppose that there exists a constant c such that for every small enough $\delta > 0$ there exists $\beta = \beta(\delta) > 0$ such that for all $0 \le k \le \beta n$ we have $p_k \ge ce^{-\delta k}$.*

Then, for any $x > \frac{1}{d-1}$ there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x,d) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \ge \varepsilon n \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{1}{c}\phi^{-\varepsilon n} - \phi^{-\beta n/2}}{1 - \phi^{-\beta n/2}},$$

where $\phi = x(d-1)$. Specifically,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n}\, \mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \ge \varepsilon > 0.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough so that $\delta < \frac{1}{2}\log\phi$. Let $\beta = \beta(\delta)$.

Note that for any integers $0 < m < M \le \beta n$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (x(d-1))^k p_k \le \frac{\phi^{m+1} - 1}{\phi - 1},$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{M} (x(d-1))^k p_k \ge c \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{M} \sqrt{\phi}^k = c \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\phi}^{M+1} - 1}{\sqrt{\phi} - 1}.$$

Let $M = \lfloor \beta n \rfloor$ and let $m = \lfloor \frac{M-1}{4} \rfloor$ so that $\phi^{m+1-(M+1)/2} \le \phi^{-m}$. Note that there exists some small enough $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\beta) > 0$ such that $m \ge \varepsilon n$.

We then have,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{x,n}[|\gamma| \le m] = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m}(x(d-1))^k p_k}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(x(d-1))^k p_k} &\le c^{-1} \cdot \frac{\phi^{m+1}-1}{\phi-1} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\phi}-1}{\sqrt{\phi}^{M+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\phi^{-(M+1)/2}} \\ &\le c^{-1} \cdot \phi^{-\varepsilon n} \cdot \left(1 - \phi^{-\beta n/2}\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x,n}[|\gamma|] \ge \varepsilon n \cdot \frac{1 - \phi^{-\beta n/2} - c^{-1}\cdot\phi^{-\varepsilon n}}{1 - \phi^{-\beta n/2}}.$$

□

• **Lemma 12** (Critical phase)**.** *Suppose that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$ all $0 \le k \le n-1$, we have $p_k \le Ce^{-\varepsilon k}$. Then for $x_c = \frac{1}{d-1}$,*

$$C' g_n \le \mathbb{E}_{x_c,n}[|\gamma|] \le C \cdot \frac{1+\varepsilon}{g_n \cdot \varepsilon^2},$$

where C' is a constant depending only on C.

Proof. We have for $0 < \xi < 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} k e^{-\xi k} \leq \frac{e^{-\xi}}{1-e^{-\xi}} \cdot \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Geo}(1-e^{-\xi})] = \frac{1}{(e^{\xi}-1)(1-e^{-\xi})} \leq \frac{1+\xi}{\xi^2}.$$

Using this in (3), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_c,n}[|\gamma|] \leq C \cdot \frac{1+\varepsilon}{g_n \cdot \varepsilon^2},$$

because $p_k = 1$ for all $k < g_n$.

For the lower bound, note that since $p_k = 1$ for $k < g_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{x_c,n}[|\gamma| < m] &= \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_k}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k} \leq (g_n)^{-1} \cdot C \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{-\varepsilon k} \\ &= \frac{C(1-e^{-\varepsilon m})}{g_n(1-e^{-\varepsilon})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon m}{g_n \cdot \varepsilon} \leq \frac{Cm}{g_n}.\end{aligned}$$

So if we take $m = \lfloor \frac{g_n}{2C} \rfloor$ we get that $\mathbb{E}_{x_c,n}[|\gamma|] \geq \frac{1}{2}m$. □

3.2. **Bounds on the probability that a non-backtracking random walk is self-avoiding.** We now proceed to show that the conditions for Lemmas 10, 11, 12 are met.

• **Lemma 13.** *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following holds. Suppose that G_n are transitive with girth g_n. For every n and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ we have that*

$$p_k \leq C \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{g_n}(d-1)^{-g_n} \cdot k\right).$$

Proof. Fix $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Since g_n is the girth of G_n, we have $\mathbb{P}_v[\mathsf{NB}(g_n) = v] \geq (d-1)^{-g_n}$. Thus, for any $j \leq k - g_n$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{NB}(j+g_n) = \mathsf{NB}(j) \mid \mathsf{NB}[0,j]] \geq (d-1)^{-g_n}.$$

Hence, as long as $k \geq g_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\mathsf{NB}[0,k] \in \mathsf{SAW}] &\leq \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{NB}[0,k-g_n] \in \mathsf{SAW}, \mathsf{NB}(k) \neq \mathsf{NB}(k-g_n)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}[\mathsf{NB}[0,k-g_n] \in \mathsf{SAW}] \cdot \left(1-(d-1)^{-g_n}\right) \\ &\leq \cdots \leq \left(1-(d-1)^{-g_n}\right)^{\lfloor k/g_n \rfloor}.\end{aligned}$$

If $k < g_n$ then $p_k = 1$. So we may choose $C = e^{1/(d-1)}$ to complete the proof. □

• **Lemma 14.** *Let*

$$\tau = \min\left\{ t \ : \ \max_{u,v} |\, \mathbb{P}_u[\mathsf{NB}(t) = v] - \tfrac{1}{n}| \leq \tfrac{1}{2n} \right\}$$

be the mixing time of the non-backtracking random walk. For all $m \geq \tau$,

$$p_{k+m} \geq \left(1 - \tfrac{3(k+1)m}{2n} - m^2 \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor}\right) \cdot p_k.$$

Proof. We use $S(t) = \mathsf{NB}(t)$ for simplicity of the presentation.

We will make use of the fact that uniformly over v,

$$\mathbb{P}_v[S(t) = v] \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < g_n \\ (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor} & \text{if } g_n \leq t < \tau \\ \frac{3}{2n} & \text{if } t \geq \tau. \end{cases}$$

The middle case coming from the fact that $\left\{u \ : \ \mathrm{dist}(v,u) \leq \frac{g_n}{2}\right\}$ is a tree, so to hit v at time t with a non-backtracking walk, one must be at distance $\lfloor \frac{g_n}{2} \rfloor$ at time $t - \lfloor \frac{g_n}{2} \rfloor$ and then move towards v in the next $\lfloor \frac{g_n}{2} \rfloor$ steps.

Fix $m \geq \tau$. $S[0, k+m]$ is uniformly distributed on non-backtracking paths of length $k+m$ starting at $S(0)$. Thus, conditioned on $S[0,k], S(k+m) = v$, the walk $S[k, k+m]$ is uniformly distributed on non-backtracking paths of length m starting at $S(k)$, such that the second step is not $S(k-1)$ and such that the last step is at v. Fix v and conditioned on $S[0,k] = A$ set

$$\Omega = \Omega(v,A) = \left\{ \omega \text{ non-backtracking} \ : \ \begin{array}{l} |\omega| = m \ , \ \omega(0) = S(k) \ , \ \omega(1) \neq S(k-1), \\ \omega[1,m] \cap A \neq \emptyset \ , \ \omega(m) = v \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Omega' = \Omega'(v,A) = \left\{ \omega \text{ non-backtracking} \quad : \quad \begin{array}{c} |\omega| = m \ , \ \omega(0) = v, \\ \omega[0,m-1] \cap A \neq \emptyset \end{array} \right\}$$

Since for every $\omega \in \Omega$ we have that the reversal of ω is in Ω' we get that $|\Omega| \leq |\Omega'|$. Thus, using the fact that $m \geq \tau$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[S[k+1,k+m] \cap A \neq \emptyset \ , \ S[0,k] = A, S(k+m) = v \right] & \\ &= |\Omega| \cdot (d-1)^{-m} \cdot \mathbb{P}[S[0,k] = A, S(k+m) = v] \\ &\leq |\Omega'| \cdot (d-1)^{-m} \cdot \mathbb{P}[S[0,k] = A, S(k+m) = v] \\ &= \mathbb{P}_v[\mathsf{NB}[0,m-1] \cap A \neq \emptyset] \cdot \mathbb{P}[S[0,k] = A, S(k+m) = v] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_v[S[0,m-1] \cap A \neq \emptyset] \cdot \mathbb{P}[S[0,k] = A] \cdot \frac{3}{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over v we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left[S[k+1,k+m] \cap A \neq \emptyset \ \middle| \ S[0,k] = A \right] \leq \tfrac{3}{2} \cdot \mathbb{P}[S[0,m-1] \cap A \neq \emptyset].$$

Since the uniform distribution is stationary for the non-backtracking random walk on a regular graph,

$$\mathbb{P}[S[0,m-1] \cap A \neq \emptyset] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[|S[0,m-1] \cap A| \right] = \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}[S(t) \in A] = \frac{|A|m}{n}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[S[k+1,k+m] \cap S[0,k] \neq \emptyset \ \middle| \ S[0,k] \right] \leq \frac{3(k+1)m}{2n}.$$

Also, if $S[k,k+m] \notin \mathsf{SAW}$ then there exist $0 \leq t < t' \leq m$ such that $S(k+t) = S(k+t')$. We have seen above that for any such pair $t < t'$, this probability is bounded by $\mathbb{P}[S(k+t) = S(k+t') \mid S[0,k]] \leq (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[S[k,k+m] \notin \mathsf{SAW} \ \middle| \ S[0,k] \right] &\leq \sum_{0 \leq t < t' \leq m} \mathbb{P}\left[S(k+t) = S(k+t') \ \middle| \ S[0,k] \right] \\ &\leq m^2 \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor}. \end{aligned}$$

If $S[k,k+m] \in \mathsf{SAW}, S[0,k] \in \mathsf{SAW}$ and $S[k+1,k+m] \cap S[0,k] = \emptyset$, then $S[0,k+m] \in \mathsf{SAW}$. Thus, combining all the above we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}[S[0,k+m] \in \mathsf{SAW}] \geq \left(1 - \tfrac{3(k+1)m}{2n} - m^2 \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor}\right) \cdot \mathbb{P}[S[0,k] \in \mathsf{SAW}].$$

□

• **Corollary 15.** *Suppose that the mixing time of the non-backtracking random walk on G_n satisfies $\tau_n = o((d-1)^{g_n/4})$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Then, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$ and all $k \leq \frac{\delta}{6} n$, $p_k \geq c e^{-\delta k}$.

Proof. If we choose $m = \tau$, we may take n large enough so that $m^2 \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor} < \frac{1}{4}$. By Lemma 14 (using the inequality $e^{-2\xi} \leq 1 - \xi$ valid for all $\xi \leq \frac{1}{4}$),

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &\geq \exp\left(-2m^2 \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor} - \tfrac{3(k-m)m}{n} - \tfrac{3m}{n}\right) \cdot p_{k-m} \\ &\geq \cdots \geq \exp\left(-\lfloor \tfrac{k}{m} \rfloor \cdot 2m^2 \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor} - \tfrac{3m}{n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor k/m \rfloor} jm - \tfrac{3m}{n} \cdot \lfloor \tfrac{k}{m} \rfloor\right) \cdot p_m \\ &\geq \exp\left(-2km \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor} - \frac{3k^2}{n} - \frac{3k}{n}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-2m^2 \cdot (d-1)^{-\lfloor g_n/2 \rfloor}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $k \leq \beta n$ then,

$$p_k \geq \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2m} k - 3\beta k - \tfrac{3}{n} k\right).$$

Since $m = \tau \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, we have that if $\beta = \frac{\delta}{6}$ then for all n large enough (so that $2m >> \delta^{-1}$), $p_k \geq e^{-1/2} \cdot e^{-\delta k}$. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.** We now combine everything in this section for:

Proof of Theorem 3. The sub-critical case $x < (d-1)^{-1}$ follows by Lemma 10.

The critical case $x = (d-1)^{-1}$ is the combination of Lemmas 12 and 13, where $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{g_n (d-1)^{g_n}}$.

The super-critical case $x > (d-1)^{-1}$ is obtained by plugging in the bound from Corollary 15 into Lemma 11. □

References

[1] N. Alon, I. Benjamini, E. Lubetzky and S. Sodin. Non-backtracking random walks mix faster. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* **9** (2007), 585–603.

[2] R. Bauerschmidt, H. Duminil-Copin, J. Goodman and G. Slade. Lectures on self-avoiding-walks. in: *Probability and Statistical Physics in Two and More Dimensions*. Eds: D. Ellwood, C. Newman, V. Sidoravicius, W. Werner, *Clay Mathematics Proceedings*, **vol. 15**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, 395–467. arXiv:1109.1549.

[3] D.C. Brydges, A. Dahlqvist and G. Slade. The strong interaction limit of continuous-time weakly self-avoiding walk. arXiv:1104.3731.

[4] D.C. Brydges, J. Z. Imbrie and G. Slade. Functional integral representations for self-avoiding walk. *Probab. Surv.* **6** (2009), 34–61.

[5] D.C. Brydges and G. Slade. Renormalisation group analysis of weakly self-avoiding walk in dimensions four and higher. *lecture at ICM 2010, Hyderabad*. arXiv:1003.4484.

[6] D.C. Brydges and T. Spencer. Self-avoiding walk in 5 or more dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **97**(1-2) (1985), 125–148.

[7] H. Duminil-Copin, G. Kozma and A. Yadin. Supercritical self-avoiding walks are space-filling. *Annales de l'IHP Probab. et Stat.* to appear. arXiv:1110.3074.

[8] H. Duminil-Copin and S. Smirnov. The connective constant of the honeycomb lattice equals $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$. *Annals of Mathematics* **175**(3) (2012), 1653–1665.

[9] G.R. Grimmett and Z. Li. Counting self-avoiding walks. arXiv:1304.7216.

[10] T. Hara and G. Slade. Critical behaviour of self-avoiding walk in five or more dimensions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **25**(2) (1991), 417–423.

[11] T. Hara and G. Slade. Self-avoiding walk in five or more dimensions. I. The critical behaviour. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **147**(1) (1992), 101–136.

[12] D. Ioffe. Ornstein-Zernike behaviour and analyticity of shapes for self-avoiding walks on $\mathbf{Z}^d$. *Markov Process. Related Fields* **4**(3) (1998), 323–350.

[13] G.F. Lawler, O. Schramm and W. Werner. On the scaling limit of planar self-avoiding walk, in: *Fractal geometry and applications: a jubilee of Benoît Mandelbrot*, Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 72, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 339–364. arXiv:math/0204277

[14] A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak. Ramanujan graphs. *Combinatorica* **8** (1988), 261–277.

[15] N. Madras and G. Slade. *The self-avoiding walk*. Probability and its Applications, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[16] G.A. Margulis. Explicit constructions of graphs without short cycles and low density codes. *Combinatorica* **2** (1982), 71–78.

[17] A. Nachmias. Mean-field conditions for percolation on finite graphs. *GAFA* **19** (2009), 1171–1194.

[18] A. Nachmias and Y. Peres. Non-amenable Cayley graphs of high girth have $p_c < p_u$ and mean-field exponents. *Electronic Communications in Probability* **17** (2012), 1–8.